Phosphinidenes and Related Intermediates. IV. Reactions of Phosphinothioylidenes with cis- and trans-Stilbene Oxides

Shigenobu Nakayama, Masaaki Yoshifuji, Renji Okazaki, and Naoki Inamoto Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, The University of Tokyo, Hongo, Tokyo 113 (Received September 28, 1974)

Phosphinothioylidenes were generated by the reaction of phosphonothioic dichlorides with magnesium. Reactions of phosphinothioylidenes with *cis*- and *trans*-stilbene oxides gave 1,3,2-oxathiaphospholane 2-sulfide derivatives (6, 7, 9, and 11) stereospecifically, except for the reaction of phenylphosphinothioylidene with *trans*-stilbene oxide, along with *cis*- and/or *trans*-stilbenes. The formation mechanisms, which involve phenylphosphinothioylidene coordinated by the ethereal oxygen atom, and the conformation of 1,3,2-oxathiaphospholane 2-sulfides were discussed.

There is no report on reactions of phosphinidenes, phosphinylidenes, and phosphinothioylidenes with cyclic ethers, but reaction of phenylphosphinylidene with ketene acetal²⁾ and reaction of phenylphosphinidene with allyl ethyl sulfide³⁾ have been reported. In the previous paper,¹⁾ it has been reported that phenylphosphinothioylidene generated by the reaction of phenylphosphonothioic dichloride (1) with magnesium reacted with tetrahydrofuran (THF) to give 2-phenyl-1,3,2-oxathiaphosphepane 2-sulfide (2), but phenylphosphinylidene generated from phenylphosphonic dichloride and magnesium gave no identified product with THF.

$$\begin{array}{c} \operatorname{PhP(S)Cl_2} + \operatorname{Mg} \to [\operatorname{Ph-P=S}] + \boxed{\hspace{1cm}}\\ \mathbf{1} \\ \to \begin{array}{c} -\operatorname{O} \\ -\operatorname{S} \end{array} \\ \mathbf{7} - \operatorname{P-Ph} \xrightarrow{1} \begin{array}{c} -\operatorname{O} \\ -\operatorname{S} \end{array} \\ \mathbf{2} \end{array}$$

This paper describes the reactions of phosphinothioylidenes with *cis*- and *trans*-stilbene oxides, which are more reactive than THF, to afford insertion products into the C–O bond of the epoxides and deoxygenation products of the epoxides.

Phenylphosphinothioylidene generated from **1** and magnesium reacted with *trans*-stilbene oxide to give *trans*-stilbene (**4**), benzyl phenyl ketone (**5**), 2,*trans*-4,5-triphenyl- (**6**), and 2,*cis*-4, 5-triphenyl-1,3,2-oxathiaphospholane 2-sulfides (**7**) in 65, 9, 8, and 17% yields, respectively, based on *trans*-stilbene oxide consumed. Among the isomers of **6** and **7**, 2,*c*-4, *t*-5- (**6a**), 2, *t*-4, *t*-5- (**7a**), and 2,*c*-4, *c*-5-triphenyl-1,3,2-oxathiaphospholane *r*-2-sulfides could be isolated in a pure state.

R-P(S)Cl₂ + Mg
$$\rightarrow$$
 [R-P=S] + Ph \rightarrow Ph \rightarrow 1, R=Ph 8, R= ϵ -C₆H₁₁
Ph \rightarrow Ph \rightarrow

$$+ \qquad \begin{array}{c} H \\ \longrightarrow \\ Ph Ph \\ Ph Ph \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c} S \\ \longrightarrow \\ Ph Ph \\ \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c} R \\ \longrightarrow \\ Ph Ph \\ \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c} H \\ \longrightarrow \\ Ph Ph \\ Ph Ph \\ \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c} S \\ \nearrow \\ R \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c} S \\ \nearrow \\ R \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c} P \\ \nearrow \\ R \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c} T_{\mathbf{b}}, \ R = Ph \\ \mathbf{9b}, \ R = c - C_6 H_{11} \\ \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c} \mathbf{7a}, \ R = Ph \\ \mathbf{9a}, \ R = c - C_6 H_{11} \\ \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c} \mathbf{7a} \\ \mathbf{9a}, \ R = c - C_6 H_{11} \\ \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c} \mathbf{7a} \\ \mathbf{7a$$

Cyclohexylphosphonothioic dichloride (8) reacted similarly with *trans*-stilbene oxide in the presence of magnesium to give 4, 2-cyclohexyl-t-4, t-5-diphenyl-(9a), and 2-cyclohexyl-t-4, t-5-diphenyl-1,3,2-oxathiaphospholane t-2-sulfides (9b) in 34, 6, and 9% yields, respectively.

The structures of **6**, **7**, and **9** were confirmed by elemental analyses and spectral data. The assignment of *cis* or *trans* configuration between 4- and 5-phenyl groups in **6** and **7** was done by comparison of their spectral data with those of authentic samples synthesized by unequivocal routes. In the case of **9**, the assignment was done based on the similarity of the coupling constants of the methine protons to those of **7**.

A mixture of **6a** and **6b** was synthesized from *threo*-2-mercapto-1,2-diphenylethan-1-ol⁴) and **1** using triethylamine as a base. Reaction of the *erythro* derivative⁴) and **1** gave a mixture of **7a** and **7b** by use of pyridine as a base, but the use of triethylamine afforded only **7b**.

The assignment of cis or trans between 2- and 4-substituents in 6, 7, and 9 was done based on chemical shifts and coupling constants of the methine protons by use of molecular models as will be discussed later.

$$[R-P=S] + Ph \longrightarrow Ph \longrightarrow Ph \longrightarrow Ph \longrightarrow Ph$$

$$+ Ph \longrightarrow S \longrightarrow Ph \longrightarrow Ph \longrightarrow Ph$$

$$+ Ph \longrightarrow S \longrightarrow Ph \longrightarrow Ph \longrightarrow Ph$$

$$+ Ph \longrightarrow S \longrightarrow Ph \longrightarrow Ph \longrightarrow Ph$$

$$+ Ph \longrightarrow S \longrightarrow Ph \longrightarrow S \longrightarrow Ph$$

$$+ Ph \longrightarrow S \longrightarrow Ph \longrightarrow S \longrightarrow Ph$$

$$+ Ph \longrightarrow S \longrightarrow Ph \longrightarrow S \longrightarrow Ph$$

$$+ Ph \longrightarrow S \longrightarrow Ph \longrightarrow S \longrightarrow Ph$$

$$+ Ph \longrightarrow$$

cis-Stilbene oxide reacted with 1 in the presence of magnesium to afford trans- (4), cis-stilbenes (10), and 6 in 28, 2, and 31% yields, respectively. Similarly the reaction of cis-stilbene oxide with 8 in the presence of magnesium gave 4, 10, and 2-cyclohexyl-trans-4,5-diphenyl-1,3,2-oxathiaphospholane 2-sulfides (11) in 16, 14, and 32% yields, respectively, based on cis-

stilbene oxide consumed. In these reactions, 7 and 9 could not be detected by NMR.

In the presence of magnesium(II) chloride, the reaction of 1 with magnesium and *trans*-stilbene oxide gave 4, 5, 6, and 7 in 39, 5, 18, and 1% yields, respectively, based on *trans*-stilbene oxide consumed. The product ratio of 6 and 7 in this reaction is remarkably different from that in the absence of magnesium(II) chloride.

Reaction of trans-stilbene oxide with magnesium and magnesium(II) chloride gave no stilbenes but benzyl phenyl ketone (5) and erythro-2-chloro-1,2-diphenylethan-1-ol (12) in 53 and 43% yields, respectively, based on trans-stilbene oxide consumed. It is known that 5 is formed in the reaction of stilbene oxide with magnesium chloride. 5)

Reactions of phosphinylidenes with stilbene oxides gave different and complicated products, except for the formation of stilbenes. These results will be reported in near future.

Reaction Mechanism. Only the reaction of 1 with trans-stilbene oxide and magnesium gave 5 and a mixture of inverted and retained 1,3,2-oxathiaphospholane 2-sulfide derivatives, indicating a contribution of S_N1 pathway, but the other reactions gave only the inverted derivatives stereospecifically, suggesting the S_N2 character. Moreover, in the reaction of 1 with magnesium and trans-stilbene oxide, the presence of magnesium(II) chloride increased the formation of retention products (6) and decreased that of inversion products (7), supporting an increase of a contribution of S_N1 pathway by the epoxide coordinating to magnesium(II) chloride, because 6 is more thermodynamically stable than 7.

Mechanism for the formation of 6 and 7 is considered as follows, though participation of Grignard type species, [PhClPS]- +MgCl, could not be ruled out completely.

The mechanism involves phenylphosphinothioylidene coordinated by the oxygen atom of *trans*-stilbene oxide (13) as an intermediate.

$$[Ph-P=S] + Ph \longrightarrow Ph$$

$$Ph \longrightarrow Ph \longrightarrow Ph \longrightarrow PhCH=CHPh$$

$$\downarrow P \delta - Ph \longrightarrow PhCH=CHPh$$

$$\downarrow P \delta - Ph \longrightarrow PhCH=CHPh$$

$$\downarrow P \delta - Ph \longrightarrow Ph-CH-CH-Ph$$

$$\downarrow Ph \longrightarrow Ph-CH-CH-Ph$$

$$\downarrow Ph \longrightarrow Ph \longrightarrow Ph$$

$$\downarrow Ph \longrightarrow Ph$$

$$\downarrow 1 \qquad 14$$

$$\downarrow 1 \qquad 14$$

Stilbenes are considered to be produced by the decomposition of the intermediate (13), but isolation of the expected phosphonothioic acid was not tried.

The intermediate (13) attacks trans-stilbene oxide as sulfide anion in a S_N2 fashion or cleavages in a S_N1 manner to give 14. Since the S_N2 attack suffers a steric hindrance by the phenyl groups in the trans relation and the anionic center of the zwitterion (14) is stabilized by the P-phenyl group, the S_N1 pathway becomes possible.

In the reaction with 8, the $S_N 2$ attack suffers a similar steric hindrance by the phenyl groups, but the cyclohexyl group does not stabilize the zwitterion analogous to 14. Thus, the $S_N 1$ pathway does not occur and the reaction becomes stereospecific.

In the case of *cis*-stilbene oxide, the reactions are stereospecific in the reactions with both **1** and **8**, because of almost no steric hindrance for the S_N 2 attack.

The formation of **2** in the reaction of THF with phenylphosphinothioylidene¹⁾ is explained by a similar mechanism. The following reaction has been reported to afford an analogous compound.⁶⁾

$$\begin{array}{c}
R \\
S
\end{array}
P \left\langle \begin{array}{c}
S \\
S
\end{array}
P \left\langle \begin{array}{c}
S \\
R
\end{array}
\right\rangle
P \left\langle \begin{array}{c}
S \\
R
\end{array}
\right\rangle$$

Conformation of 6, 7, 9, and 11. Their conformations were determined by consideration of NMR data and molecular models. The NMR data of the methine protons of 6, 7, 9, and 11 are summarized in Table 1.

In the following discussion, it was assumed that protons in a 1,3-cis relation to a P=S group are deshielded, because protons in a 1,3-cis relation to a P=O group are deshielded in a five-membered ring⁷⁾ and it has been reported that P=O and P=S groups show a similar tendency in the case of six-membered rings.^{8,9)}

At first, the conformations of **6** and **11** are discussed. The values of J_{HCCH} , J_{PSCH} , and J_{POCH} for all possible conformations were estimated using dihedral angles obtained from the molecular models. For J_{POCH} , a relationship proposed by Bergesen and Albriktsen¹⁰⁾ was used, but, for J_{PSCH} , a similar relationship was assumed considering that J_{PSCH} is usually larger than the corresponding J_{POCH} .

From these considerations, the most plausible conformation is that such as (I), in which four atoms of C-S-P-O bonds form one plane. To determine the full conformation, the chemical shifts of two methine protons were taken into account.

In the case of (Ia'), H^b should be deshielded by the P=S group, whereas, in the case of (Ib'), H^a should be deshielded. Thus, the $\delta_H^b-\delta_H^a$ value in (Ia') becomes larger than that in (Ib'). Therefore, it is

	6a	6b	7a	7b	9a	9b	11a	11b
δ SCHζ	5.08	5.17	5.3	5.07	5.2	5.00	4.77	4.93
(ppm) OCH	5.83	5.52	6.38	5.72	6.23	5.77	5.62	5.32
J _{HCCH} (Hz)	10.6	10.6	5	5	5	5.3	10.6	10.0
$J_{ m PSCH}$	0	0	14	12	12	12	0	0
$J_{ ext{POCH}}$	1.5	2	5	8	5	7.3	1.6	0

Table 1. NMR data of methine protons of 6, 7, 9, and 11 (in CDCl₃)

reasonable to consider that **11a** and **11b** have the conformations such as (Ia') and (Ib'), respectively. Since it has been known that the effects on H^a and H^b are analogous, even though an alkyl group on the phosphorus atom is substituted by a phenyl group,^{7,8)} it may be reasonable to consider that **6a** and **6b** have the conformations such as (Ia) and (Ib), respectively.

Next, the conformations of 7 and 9 are discussed. On the basis of a similar consideration, the partial conformation (II) is the most reasonable for these compounds.

$$H^{b} \xrightarrow{Ph} Ph$$

$$(II)$$

$$H^{a} \xrightarrow{H^{b}} S \xrightarrow{Ph} Ph$$

$$(IIa) R = Ph \equiv 7b$$

$$(IIb) R = Ph \equiv 7a$$

(IIb') $R = c - C_6 H_{11} \equiv 9a$

In the case of (IIa'), H^a and H^b should be shielded by the P=S group. In the case of (IIb'), H^a and H^b should be deshielded. Thus, it may be reasonable to consider that **9a** and **9b** have the full conformations such as (IIb') and (IIa'), respectively. In the cases of (IIa) and (IIb), it may be reasonable to consider that **7a** and **7b** have the full conformation such as (IIb) and (IIa), respectively.

(IIa') $R = c - C_6 H_{11} \equiv 9b$

Experimental

All melting and boiling points are uncorrected. The instruments used for spectral measurements were the same as those described in the previous paper.¹⁾ All reactions were carried out under nitrogen.

Materials. The following compounds were prepared by the methods reported in the literature: phenylphosphonothioic (1)¹²⁾ (bp 134—138 °C/14 mmHg), cyclohexylphosphonothioic dichlorides (8)¹³⁾ (bp 96—97 °C/0.95 mmHg), cis-¹⁴⁾ (mp 37.3—37.6 °C), and trans-stilbene oxides¹⁵⁾ (mp 69.5—71 °C).

Reaction of 1 in the Presence of trans-Stilbene Oxide. a) With Magnesium. A solution of 1 (5.32 g, 25.2 mmol) in THF (7 ml) was added dropwise to a stirred mixture of magnesium (0.66 g, 27.5 mg-atom) and trans-stilbene oxide (2.47 g, 12.6 mmol) in THF (43 ml) under cooling with water occasionally, initiating by addition of a small amount of iodine. After the exothermal reaction ceased (about

1 hr), the mixture was refluxed with stirring for additional 2 hr. The solvent was removed in vacuo, and the residue was extracted with chloroform. The extract was washed with water, and dried with anhydrous magnesium sulfate. After evaporation, the residue was chromatographed using a silica gel dry column to give 0.45 g (2.3 mmol) of unchanged trans-stilbene oxide, 1.21 g (6.7 mmol) of 4, 0.17 g (0.9 mmol) of 5, mp 56—57 °C (from n-hexane) (lit, 16) 57 °C), 0.29 g (0.8 mmol) of a mixture of 6a and 6b (18:1 by NMR), and 0.62 g (1.7 mmol) of a mixture of 7a and 7b (1.1:1 by NMR). The yields of 4, 5, 6, and 7 were 65, 9, 8, and 17%, respectively, based on trans-stilbene oxide consumed.

Recrystallization of the mixture of **6a** and **6b** from methanol gave pure **6a**, mp 112.5—113.5 °C. IR (KBr): 1107 (P-Ph) and 975 cm⁻¹ (P-O-C); NMR (CDCl₃): δ 5.08 (d, $J_{\rm HCCH}$ 10.6 Hz, 1H, S-CH \langle), 5.83 (dd, $J_{\rm HCCH}$ 10.6. $J_{\rm POCH}$ 1.5 Hz, 1H, O-CH \langle), 7.0—7.4 (m, 10 H, 2Ph), 7.4—7.9 (m, 3H, 2H^m and H^p of P-Ph), and 7.9—8.45 (m, $J_{\rm PH}{}^o$ 16 Hz, 2H, 2H o of P-Ph); Mass: m/e 368 (M⁺).

Found: C, 65.36;, H, 4.43; S, 17.12%. Calcd for C₂₀H₁₇OPS₂: C, 65.20; H, 4.65; S, 17.40%.

Compound **6b** could not be isolated from the mixture in the pure state. NMR (CDCl₃): δ 5.17 (d, J_{HCCH} 10.6 Hz, 1H, S-CH \langle) and 5.52 (dd, J_{POCH} 2, J_{HCCH} 10.6 Hz, 1H, O-CH \langle). The signal of the three phenyl groups were not clearly distinguished from those of **6a**.

Recrystallization of the mixture of **7a** and **7b** from methanol gave pure **7a** and **7b**.

7a: mp 154—155 °C; IR (KBr): 1115 (P-Ph) and 955 cm⁻¹ (P-O-C); NMR (CDCl₃): δ 5.3 (dd, J_{HCCH} 5, J_{PSCH} 14 Hz, 1H, S-CH \langle), 6.38 (t, J_{HCCH} = J_{POCH} 5 Hz, 1H, O-CH \langle), 6.85–7.45 (m, 10 H, 2Ph), 7.45—7.8 (m, 3H, 2H^m and H^p of P-Ph), and 7.95—8.4(m, J_{PH} ° 16 Hz, 2H, 2H° of P-Ph); Mass: m/e 368 (M⁺).

7b: mp 129—131 °C; IR (KBr): 1102 (P-Ph) and 950 cm⁻¹ (P-O-C); NMR (CDCl₃): δ 5.07 (dd, J_{HCCH} 5, J_{PSCH} 12 Hz, 1H, S-CH $\langle \rangle$), 5.72 (dd, J_{HCOCH} 5, J_{POCH} 8 Hz, 1H, O-CH $\langle \rangle$), 7.0—7.3 (m, 10 H, 2Ph), 7.3—7.65 (m, 3H, 2H^m and H^p of P-Ph), and 7.9—8.4 (m, J_{PH} ° 16 Hz, 2H, 2H° of P-Ph); Mass: m/e 368 (M⁺).

Found: C, 65.17; H, 4.66; S, 17.35%. Calcd for C₂₀-H₁₇OPS₂: C, 65.20; H, 4.65; S, 17.40%.

b) With Magnesium-Magnesium(II) Chloride. A suspended mixture of magnesium (0.66 g, 27.5 mg-atom), magnesium-(II) chloride (2.61 g, 27.5 mmol), and trans-stilbene oxide (2.47 g, 12.6 mmol) in THF (45 ml) was stirred under reflux until magnesium(II) chloride had almost dissolved. At room temperature, a solution of 1 (5.30 g, 25.1 mmol) in THF (5 ml) was added drop by drop with stirring to the above mixture. A small amount of iodine was added to start the reaction. The reaction was so exothermic that the mixture was cooled with water occasionally. The reaction mixture was stirred until the exothermal reaction had ceased (about 1 hr), and then further stirred under reflux for 2 hr. After a similar work-up, the residue was chromatographed using

a silica gel dry column to give 0.17 g (0.9 mmol) of unchanged oxide, 0.82 g (4.6 mmol) of **4**, 0.11 g (0.6 mmol) of **5**, 0.76 g (2.1 mmol) of a mixture of **6a** and **6b**, and 0.04 g (0.1 mmol) of a mixture of **7a** and **7b**. They yields of **4**, **5**, **6**, and **7** were 39, 5, 18 and 1%, respectively, based on *trans*-stilbene oxide consumed.

Reaction of 8 with Magnesium and trans-Stilbene Oxide. A solution of 8 (4.34 g, 20.0 mmol) in THF (5 ml) was added drop by drop to a stirred suspension containing magnesium (0.53 g, 21.8 mg-atom), trans-stilbene oxide (2.00 g, 10.3 mmol), and THF (40 ml). When a small amount of iodine was added, an exothermal reaction occurred, and ended in about 1 hr. The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 14 hr. After a similar work-up, the residue was chromatographed using a silica gel dry column to give 0.63 g (3.5 mmol) of 4, 0.23 g (0.6 mmol) of 9a, and 0.33 g (0.9 mmol) of 9b. The yields of 4, 9a, and 9b were 34, 6, and 9%, respectively, based on trans-stilbene oxide used.

9a: mp 162.3—163.3 °C (from hexane); NMR (CDCl₃): δ 1.0—3.0 (m, 11H, c-C₆H₁₁), 5.2 (dd, $J_{\rm HCCH}$ 5, $J_{\rm PSCH}$ 12 Hz, 1H, S–CH \langle), 6.23 (t, $J_{\rm HCCH}$ = $J_{\rm POCH}$ 5 Hz, 1H, O–CH \langle), and 7.08 (m, 10 H, 2Ph); Mass: m/e 374 (M⁺).

Found: C, 64.28; H, 6.24; S, 17.13%. Calcd for C_{20} - $H_{23}OPS_2$: C, 64.14; H, 6.19; S, 17.12%.

9b: mp 167—168 °C (from hexane); NMR (CDCl₃): δ 1.0—2.6 (m, 11H, c-C₆H₁₁), 5.00 (dd, $J_{\rm HCCH}$ 5.3, $J_{\rm PSCH}$ 12 Hz, 1H, S-CH \langle), 5.77 (dd, $J_{\rm HCCH}$ 5.3, $J_{\rm POCH}$ 7.3 Hz, 1H, O-CH \langle), and 7.12 (s, 10 H, 2Ph); Mass: m/e 374 (M⁺).

Found: C, 64.37; H, 6.30; S, 17.04%. Calcd for C₂₀-H₂₃OPS₂: C, 64.14; H, 6.19; S, 17.12%.

Reaction of 1 with Magnesium and cis-Stilbene Oxide. A solution of 1 (2.49 g, 11.8 mmol) in THF (5 ml) was added dropwise to a stirring mixture containing magnesium (0.30 g, 12.5 mg-atom), cis-stilbene oxide (1.15 g, 5.9 mmol), and THF (20 ml). To activate magnesium was added a small amount of iodine. After the exothermal reaction ceased, the mixture was further stirred under reflux for 2 hr. After a similar treatment, the residue was chromatographed using a silica gel dry column to give 0.10 g (0.5 mmol) of unchanged oxide, 0.27 g (1.5 mmol) of 4, 0.02 g (0.1 mmol) of 10, and 0.62 g (1.7 mmol) of a mixture of 6a and 6b (1.8: 1 by NMR), but 7a and 7b were not detected by tlc and NMR. The yields of 4, 10, and 6 were 28, 2, and 31%, respectively, based on the cis-stilbene oxide consumed.

Reaction of 8 with Magnesium and cis-Stilbene Oxide. solution of 8 (1.95 g, 9.0 mmol) in THF (5 ml) was added dropwise with stirring to a mixture of magnesium (0.23 g, 9.5 mg-atom) and cis-stilbene oxide (0.89 g, 4.5 mmol) in THF (18 ml). A small amount of iodine was added to start the reaction. After exothermal reaction ended, the mixture was refluxed for 2 hr. After a similar treatment, the residue was chromatographed using a silica gel dry column to give 0.02 g (0.1 mmol) of unchanged oxide, 0.12 g (0.7 mmol) of **4**, 0.11 g (0.6 mmol) of **10**, and 0.54 g (1.4 mmol) of a mixture of 11a and 11b (1.7:1 by NMR). However, 9a and 9b were not detected by NMR. The yields of 4, 10, and 11 were 16, 14, and 32%, respectively, based on cis-stilbene oxide consumed. The mixture of 11a and 11b could not be separated by tlc and fractional recrystallization. The following data are those of the mixture, mp 139—160 °C (from methanol or hexane); NMR (CDCl₃): δ 1.0—2.8 (m, c-C₆H₁₁), 4.77 (d, $J_{\rm HCCH}$ 10.6 Hz, S–CH \langle of **11a**), 4.93 (d, J_{HCCH} 10 Hz, S-CH \langle of **11b**), 5.32 (d, J_{HCCH} 10 Hz, O-CH \langle of **11b** \rangle , 5.62 (dd, J_{HCCH} 10.6, J_{POCH} 1.6 Hz, O–CH \langle of 11a \rangle , and 7.25 (s, Ph); Mass: m/e 374 (M⁺).

Found: C, 64.44; H, 6.21; S, 16.98%. Calcd for C_{20} - $H_{23}OPS_2$: C, 64.14; H, 6.19; S, 17.12%.

Preparation of 7a and 7b.

a) Using Pyridine as Base. A solution of erythro-2-mercapto-1,2-diphenylethan-l-ol⁴⁾ (0.23 g, 1 mmol) and pyridine (0.4 ml) in dry benzene (10 ml) was added with stirring to a solution of 1 (0.23 g, 1.1 mmol) in dry benzene (40 ml) over 6 hr. Stirring was continued at room temperature for 17 hr and then under reflux for 9 hr. After filtration of pyridinium chloride and similar work-up, the residue was chromatographed using a silica gel dry column to give 0.07 g (0.19 mmol, 19%) of a mixture of 7a and 7b (1:1).

b) Using Triethylamine as Base. A solution of erythrodiphenylethane derivative (0.42 g, 1.8 mmol) and triethylamine (1.2 ml) in dry benzene (10 ml) was added to a stirring solution of 1 (0.38 g, 1.8 mmol) in dry benzene (40 ml) over 9 hr. The mixture was further stirred at room temperature for 15 hr. Similar treatment gave 0.12 g (0.32 mmol, 18%) of 7b, mp 129—131 °C (from methanol), but 7a could not be detected by NMR.

Preparation of 6a and 6b. A solution of threo-2-mercapto-1,2-diphenylethan-1-ol⁴⁾ (0.55 g, 2.4 mmol) and triethylamine (1.6 ml) in dry benzene (10 ml) was added to a stirring solution of 1 (0.51 g, 2.4 mmol) in dry benzene (40 ml) over 8 hr, and the mixture was further stirred at room temperature for 16 hr. Similar treatment gave 0.05 g (0.15 mmol) of a mixture of 6a and 6b, and 0.24 g (1.0 mmol) of the starting ethane. The yield was 12% based on the diphenylethane derivative consumed.

Reaction of trans-Stilbene Oxide with Magnesium-Magnesium-(II) Chloride. A mixture of magnesium (0.22 g, 9.3 mgatom) and magnesium(II) chloride (0.86 g, 9.1 mmol) in THF (40 ml) was stirred under reflux for 2 hr until magnesium(II) chloride had dissolved. A few drops of carbon tetrachloride were added to activate magnesium, and the mixture was stirred under reflux. A solution of trans-stilbene oxide (1.57 g, 8.0 mmol) in THF (10 ml) was added dropwise to the above mixture, and the mixture was further stirred under reflux for 96 hr. Similar treatment gave 0.98 g (5.0 mmol) of unchanged oxide, 0.32 g (1.6 mmol) of 5, 0.31 g (1.3 mmol) of erythro-2-chloro-1,2-diphenylethan-1-ol (12), mp 81—82 °C (from hexane) (lit, 17) 76—77 °C); Mass: m/e 196 (M⁺-HCl). The yields of 5 and 12 were 53 and 43%, respectively, based on trans-stilbene oxide consumed.

This work was supported by a grant from the Ministry of Education.

References

- 1) For Part III see: S. Nakayama, M. Yoshifuji, R. Okazaki, and N. Inamoto, This Bulletin, 48, 546 (1975).
- 2) J. K. Stille, J. L. Eichelberger, J. Higgins, and M. E. Freeburger, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 94, 4761 (1972).
 - 3) A. Ecker and U. Schmidt, Chem. Ber., 106, 1453 (1973).
- 4) J. M. Lalancette and A. Frêche, Can. J. Chem., 49, 4047 (1971).
 - 5) H. O. House, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 77, 3070 (1955).
- 6) G. Schrader, Ger. 1,104,520; Chem. Abstr., 56, 14328e (1962).
- 7) D. B. Cooper, J. M. Harrison, and T. D. Inch, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, **1974**, 2697.
- 8) J. P. Dutasta, A. Grand, J. B. Robert, and M. Taieb, *ibid.*, **1974**, 2659.
- 9) W. G. Bentrude and H. -W. Tan, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., **95**, 4666 (1973).
- 10) K. Bergesen and P. Albriktsen, *Acta Chem. Scand.*, **25**, 2261 (1971).

- 11) M. Yoshifuji, Yuki Gosei Kagaku Kyokai Shi, 28, 192, 201 (1971).
- 12) W. L. Jensen, U. S. 2,662,917 (1953); Chem. Abstr., 48, 13711h (1954).
- 13) M. I. Kabachnik and N. N. Godovikov, *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR*, **110**, 217 (1956); *Chem. Abstr.*, **51**, 4982h (1957).
- 14) D. Y. Curtin and D. B. Kellom, J. Amer. Chem. Soc.,

75, 6011 (1953).

- 15) D. J. Reif and H. O. House, "Organic Syntheses," Coll. Vol. 4, p. 860 (1963).
- 16) C. Graebe and H. Bungener, Ber., 12, 1079 (1879).
- 17) G. Berti, F. Bottari, P. L. Ferrarini, and B. Macchia, J. Org. Chem., **30**, 4091 (1965).